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Adam Kushner

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Adam Kushner
Sent: 04/05/2009 11:45 AM EDT
To: Bob Sussman; Beth Craig; Steve Page; Richard Ossias; Bill Harnett
Cc: Lisa Heinzerling
Subject: Re: David Bookbinder-- Cliffside Plant

Bob: [b][5] DP; [5][ SA CP

Adam

Adam Kushner
Director
Office of Civil Enforcement USEPA
202-564-7979

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services
Bob Sussman

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Bob Sussman
Sent: 04/03/2009 06:05 PM EDT
To: Beth Craig; Steve Page; Richard Ossias; Adam Kushner; Bill Harnett
Cc: Lisa Heinzerling
Subject: David Bookbinder-- Cliffside Plant

I had a brief conversation today with David Bookbinder of the Sierra Club, who was meeting with us on another matter [b][5] DP

Are we engaged in looking at the Cliffside permits? Might we want to take a look at the MACT applicability analysis because it could set a precedent for mercury controls at other new plants?

Robert M. Sussman
Senior Policy Counsel to the Administrator
Office of the Administrator
US Environmental Protection Agency
Got it, following up now.

-----Original Message-----
From: Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 10:54 AM
To: mbradley@mbradley.com
Subject: Fw: NSPS litigants - NRDC, Sierra, EDF

Hey Michael. I left you a voicemail. Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Shannon Kenny  
Sent: 05/06/2011 10:47 AM EDT  
To: Michael Goo
Subject: NSPS litigants - NRDC, Sierra, EDF "Under today's agreement with the States of New York, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, New Mexico, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the District of Columbia, and the City of New York; Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), Sierra Club, and Environmental Defense Fund (EDF)."

Shannon Kenny  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
202-566-2964
Off the top of my head I know I don't have PSE&G - Eric Svensen and possibly Michael Meyers

Cindy Huang
(202) 564-7404

Joseph Goffman
1. Critical, high-impact rule that admin...
06/28/2011 03:12:43 PM

From: Joseph Goffman/DC/USEPA/US
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/28/2011 03:12 PM
Subject: TR Timing TPs and Contacts

(b) (5) DF

Next week will allow us to meet those goals.

Cindy -- can you please fill in the phone numbers below? Let me know ASAP if you don't have them, please. Thanks.

Environmental and Public Health Advocates
1. EDF -- Vickie Patton
2. ALA -- Paul Billings
3. Clean Air Task Force -- Ann Weeks
4. NRDC -- John Walke
5. Sierra Club -- John Coeuyt

States
1. NACAA -- Bill Becker
2. NY AG -- Michael Meyers

Utilities
1. CEG -- Michael Bradley
2. Exelon -- John Rowe via Emily Duncan
3. PSE and G -- Eric Svensen (sp?)

Joseph Goffman
Senior Counsel to the Assistant Administrator
Office of Air and Radiation
There were two 45-minute meetings today where OMB heard from the Environmental Community and the Clean Energy Group on their views of the Transport Rule Proposal. OMB asked a lot of questions to clarify and better understand points each group made. The organizations there, and their main points are summarized below. OMB had a half dozen managers and staff there with Heidi King, our main examiner, running the meetings. OPEI's David Evans and myself listened by phone (only option for us).

**Enviros** - Representatives were at NEOB and on the phone from NRDC, ED, Earth Justice, Sierra Club, and Clean Air Task Force. They indicated that they had not seen the rule, but had some sense of it. Three main points were: (1) would like to see it proposed soon as possible since that will ensure its first phase in 2012 could happen, (2) see potential for tremendous benefits, especially from SO2 control, and hope that we are being as stringent as we can, and (3) hopeful that the package doesn't make CAIR's mistake of having ancillary actions, like having the new rule equal RACT or BART. They made a strong constructive case for the rule going out now. Did mention that this rule was leading the way for the Utility MACT effort which should have even greater benefits resulting from it.

**CEG** - Representatives were at NEOB, including Michael Bradley and his staff attorney Carrie Jenks, and company reps from FPL, Entergy, PSEG NJ, Calpine, and Constellation Energy. Noted that they wanted to be supportive of rule that resolved the air emissions transport problem, as many CEG members are in downwind areas and have done a lot to operate cleanly already. Made point that how allowances are awarded for NOx and SO2 matters a lot to them and hopeful that we are moving away from approaches that led to CAIR litigation on fuel factors - which unfairly awarded allowances to relatively high emitters of NOx and provided the wrong incentives - which the Court squarely knocked down. Made pitch for trying to use a creative voluntary allowance trade in approach for the current SO2 bank that Constellation had presented to EPA. After OMB, they made same presentation later today to Joe Goffman and myself. (Can email it to you if you want it.)

Notably, on this Thursday at 3:00, OCIR has arranged for a conference call to discuss OAR's "no federalism implications" determination on the proposed Transport rule. Reps from the National Governors Association (NGA), National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL) and the Environmental Council of States (ECOS) will participate.
definitely one she should take.

Robert M. Sussman
Senior Policy Counsel to the Administrator
Office of the Administrator
US Environmental Protection Agency

From: Daniel Gerasimowicz/DC/USEPA/US
To: David McIntosh/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Bob Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Diane Thompson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Catherine McCabe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Giles/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Pat Hirsch/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Justina Fugh/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Georgia Bednar/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Stephanie Washington/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Shelia Poke-Williams/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Linda Huffman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Robin Spriggs/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Catherine McCabe, Cynthia Giles, David McIntosh, Diane Thompson, Georgia Bednar, Gina McCarthy, Justina Fugh, Linde Huffman, Pat Hirsch, Robin Spriggs, Sheila Poke-Williams, Stephanie Washington

Date: 06/12/2009 06:23 PM
Subject: Scheduling Office Requests Input: Meeting Request for The Administrator: Meet with The American Lung Association's President and CEO Charles D. Connor

RE: Meeting Request for The Administrator: Meet with The American Lung Association's President and CEO Charles D. Connor

Please see the attached invitation request for the Administrator and give your recommendation within 24 hours.

Thank you,

The Scheduling Office
Office of the Administrator

Please include this link in your response
NOTES://DCGCLN1/MHANSON/VAOV/InvitationRequests.nsf/Processing/4F3EE10F9253100F852575D3007A914A

Description/Purpose
Mr. Connor has requested a courtesy meeting with The Administrator to discuss several issues of interest - indoor and outdoor air pollution, NAAQS, CAIR, climate, etc.

Tentative Date from to EPA Org:
07/06/2009 09:00 AM 05:00 PM

Original Request (use Notes Viewer and set magnification (View, Magnification) to Fit Width)
All,

The American Lung Association is holding a press call today in advance of our NAAQs announcement. I tried to work with them to hold the call after our announcement tomorrow, but they believe that a pre-call today will spark more interest in the issue and raise the visibility of the issue from an ngo perspective. I don’t expect the call to be negative. They will discuss why the issue is so important to stakeholders.

Thanks,

Stephanie

----- Forwarded by Stephanie Owens/DC/USEPA/US on 01/06/2010 11:26 AM -----
FYI ALA just updated their quote - very minor edit:

"The American Lung Association applauds the release of this sensible public health measure. When it becomes final, the cleanup rule that the EPA is putting forward today will save lives, protect the health of millions of Americans and finally bring about an action that is 20 years overdue," said Charles D. Connor, president and CEO of the American Lung Association. "This must happen. We will make sure that this promise is kept."

Materials below and attached - the numbers are subject to change. The advisory is going at 6pm so please let me know if you have any edits to that.

Am pulling together all of the maps/charts we're going to hand out as part of the press kit.

Thanks.

Topline Messages

1. (b) (5) DP
Fee, am putting you on an email with Gina McCarthy's scheduler Cindy. Cindy, think you already have the basic details at bottom. Here are the 2 windows of time that we are looking to have Gina come -- flexible within those windows and looking for about 10 mins of remarks and 20 mins of Q&A (this would be here in the EEOB):

(1) Monday, Sept 13, between 3-6pm (ideally closer to 6pm)
(2) Tuesday, Sept 14, between 9am-noon

Attendees will be members from likely be state affiliates / chapters of Sierra Club, Environment America, League of Conservation Voters, National Wildlife Federation, NRDC and EDF (the core of the CEW executive committee). They are representing PA, WI, MN, VA, MI, MT and OH.

Would be great to have her if the timing works out. Thanks!

From: Fee, Robert I.
Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 1:18 PM
To: Greenawalt, Andrei
Subject: Agency event request

We have around 30-40 environmental folks (coordinated through clean energy works) from Midwest states that are coming in to town next week Monday and Tuesday, the 13th and 14th. They are coming to defend the clean air act and are doing a number of legislative briefings along those lines. We would like to host them and have an administration and EPA person talk about the various EPA rulemakings and start a conversation about the process and how they can help. Can you ping EPA to see if they would be able to send someone for either Monday afternoon or Tuesday morning? Gina McCarthy would be our number one choice.

Let me know if you need more info

Thanks
Fee

Robert Fee
Office of Public Engagement
202-456-4815
HI Michael and Alex,

Great seeing you guys today. Here is the info on the public polling (National and 27 congressional districts) that has been done on public attitudes toward EPA in the last month. Please share with your colleagues whose emails I don’t have.

- **Strong Opposition Nationally and in 19 Key Districts to House Votes to Block Public Health Protections (Feb 18-28)**
  Public Policy Polling, conducted for NRDC, released 20 new polls to probe how Americans nationally and in 19 key districts feel about votes to block the EPA’s work to protect public health.

- **Congress: Can You Hear America Now On Clean Air and EPA (Feb 7-14)**
  A new American Lung Association poll conducted by Democratic polling firm Greenberg Quinlan Rosner and GOP pollster Ayres McHenry examining the American public’s views on protecting public health from pollution offers the most robust findings on where Americans are at on the issue: a bipartisan cross section want the EPA to be able to do its job protecting public health from pollution and oppose efforts to derail the EPA.
  [http://switchboard.nrdc.org/blogs/paltman/congress_can_you_hear_america.html](http://switchboard.nrdc.org/blogs/paltman/congress_can_you_hear_america.html)

- **Constituents in Upton’s and 8 Other House Districts: Let EPA Do its Job! (Feb 4-5)**
  Public Policy Polling, conducted for NRDC, survey showing that voters in Chairman Upton’s district are not at all behind him, and the voters in eight other districts we looked at aren’t either.

- **Americans Oppose Upton and Gingrich-style Attacks on Pollution Safeguards (Jan 27-30)**
  Public opinion polling released by the Opinion Research Corporation finds that Americans don’t want to eliminate the EPA as Gingrich suggests, nor do they want Congress to stop it from doing its job of protecting public health, as Chairman Upton and others want to do.
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Nicole - I assume it was the same dates for the final rule for major sources as well?

A

Nicole Owens

Hi. National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Area Sources: Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers, SAN 4884, RIN 2060-AM44 was signed on February 21, submitted under CRA on February 25 and published on March 21.

If you need more info, or this is the wrong rule, let me know.

Nicole

Alex Barron

Alex and nicole - can you help? A

Alex Beauvais

----- Original Message -----
From: Joel Beauvais
Sent: 04/21/2011 09:57 AM EDT
To: Shannon Kenny; Alex Barron
Subject: Fw: Has EPA officially reported the Boiler air toxics rule to Congress? Is OP the office responsible for reporting final rules to Congress? Do you guys know the answer to this question?

----- Forwarded by Joel Beauvais/DC/USEPA/US on 04/21/2011 09:56 AM -----
To: Richard Windsor, Scott Fulton, Avi Garbow, Gina McCarthy, Bob Perciasepe, Brendan Gillian, Laura Vaught, Janet McCabe
cc
bcc
Subject: Sierra Club litigation letter

Administrator,
I'll summarize all this in your briefing document for the Hill meetings regarding Boiler MACT. (b) (5) DP

Thanks.
Arvin

----- Forwarded by Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US on 11/30/2011 06:12 PM -----

From: John Coequyt <john.coequyt@sierraclub.org>
To: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 11/30/2011 05:56 PM
Subject: Microsoft Word - Narayan-Jackson Letter Dec 1 2011.doc
John Coequyt  
Cell. 202.669.7060  
Direct. 202.675.7916

Begin forwarded message:

From: Terry McGuire <terry.mcguire@sierraclub.org>
Date: January 13, 2012 4:56:56 PM EST
To: "#Lobby-DC" <lobby-de-list@sierraclub.org>
Subject: Mercury Air Toxics Ad Buy in Ohio

ICYMI

New Sierra Club ad praises Obama for EPA mercury rule

Jennifer Yachnin, E&E reporter

Published: Thursday, January 12, 2012

The Sierra Club launched its largest television ad buy in recent history today, saturating the airwaves in Ohio with a spot praising U.S. EPA's new mercury standards for coal- and oil-burning power plants and its effort to halt cross-state air pollution.

The ad also aims to discourage Congress from passing legislation to weaken the standards, which have drawn criticism from power utilities. No individual lawmakers are named.

In the 30-second spot, which will air in the Cleveland, Cincinnati and Columbus markets through Tuesday, viewers are shown images of smoke stacks and children with nebulizer masks.

"With lobbyists and their friends in Congress railing against air pollution standards, it's time to clear the air. The less we have of this, the less she'll have of this," the narrator states, as an image of a young girl with a nebulizer is shown.
Shannon Kenny
Acting Principal DAA
Office of Policy, U.S. EPA
202-566-2964
----- Forwarded by Shannon Kenny/DC/USEPA/US on 01/20/2012 10:11 AM -----
Janice

Thank **You!** You were great, as usual, and have heard a lot of people who listened say that.

Yes, we will get you the list. It was pretty short, actually. We huddled w/the public affairs folks and we think they marketed it to the wrong groups (i.e. people to track industry regs). We will try to get the recording out to some better "influencers" of health and environmental issues and may try to do another call.

Bill

Janice Nolen

Hi Bill and Gina, Thanks so much for inc... 01/25/2012 02:31:43 PM

From: Janice Nolen <Janice.Nolen@lung.org>
To: Bill Long/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/25/2012 02:31 PM
Subject: Thanks so much for including us!

Hi Bill and Gina,
Thanks so much for including us in the radon briefing and for the kind words. Glad to see HUD’s increasing involvement. We will want to reinforce that message to them.
Can you supply us with a list of those who called in?

Thanks again,
Janice

**Janice E. Nolen** | Assistant Vice President, National Policy and Advocacy

**American Lung Association**
National Headquarters
1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20004-1725
P 202.785.3355 | C 202.486.0285 | F 202.452.1805
Janice.Nolen@Lung.org | www.Lung.org


*************** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED
***************
Sounds good. Thanks.

Nancy Grantham  we are inclined to do this .. this is the...

From: Nancy Grantham/R1/USEPA/US  
To: John Millet/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/14/2012 02:31 PM  
Subject: Please let us know your thoughts .. Fw: Touching Base

we are inclined to do this .. this is the same group that we did a round table with and did a mats event with ... thanks ng

----- Forwarded by Nancy Grantham/R1/USEPA/US on 03/14/2012 02:28 PM -----

From: Catherine Corkery <catherine.corkery@sierraclub.org>  
To: Nancy Grantham/R1/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/12/2012 10:24 AM  
Subject: Re: Touching Base

We are working with Sen Shaheen's office to have a round table discussion about carbon solutions such as energy savings programs and projects that will help NH move ahead and would like for Mr Spalding to talk about the carbon ruling that requires the EPA to regulate carbon. We want the context to be the good work of the EPA and the benefits: jobs and pollution reductions. The Senator is working on her schedule to be the key note speaker. She will talk about the concerns of continuing without a carbon rule and the opportunities NH would be able to explore with one, highlighting local businesses addressing the issues.

It would be a great venue for Mr. Spalding to highlight the meaningful environmental and health improvements to American lives with the introduction of the Clean Air Act as well as the mission of the EPA to continue doing so.

April 4 and 5th are prospective dates at this time but at this point there is some flexibility. Please let me know immediately what more you need.

Cathy

On Mon, Mar 12, 2012 at 7:00 AM, Nancy Grantham <Grantham.Nancy@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Cathy,

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you.

If you could, it would great if you can send me an email describing what you would like to do in early April in NH -- that way I can coordinate messaging with our air offices here and at HQ.
thanks

nancy grantham

---

Catherine M. Corkery  
Chapter Director  
Field Organizer  
New Hampshire Sierra Club  
40 North Main Street 2nd Floor  
Concord, NH 03301  
Office: 603-224-8222  
Cell: 603-491-1929  
catherine.corkery@sierraclub.org  
www.nhsierraclub.org

Go Green with the Manchester Monarchs on Sunday, March 25th at 3PM and a portion of your ticket will go to NH Sierra Club!!
From Director of Sierra Club's Beyond Coal Campaign...in the Daily Kos....

**New Carbon Pollution Safeguards Will Protect Our Health, Our Children's Future**

Outlet Full Name: DAILY KOS

News Text: Today, our nation is taking a historic step for our health and our children's future. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Obama Administration have just announced new carbon pollution safeguards that will protect clean air and the planet, while also spurring innovation and creating jobs in the clean energy economy.

Carbon pollution is linked to life-threatening air pollution like the smog that triggers asthma attacks, and it is the main contributor to climate disruption – making it a serious hazard to Americans' health and future.

EPA today established new proposed safeguards under the Clean Air Act to protect Americans from dangerous carbon pollution produced by new coal plants.

These standards will protect Americans' health, our economy and the future of our children, from carbon's threats. Before today, there were no limits on the amount of carbon being spewed into the air by the nation's largest sources of carbon pollution: dirty coal-fired power plants.

Concerned about these dangers, Americans have repeatedly said no to new coal-fired power plants for the past decade, defeating 166 proposed coal plants across the nation. Now, as the Sierra Club's executive director, Michael Brune, said today in a press statement, "These first-ever carbon pollution standards for new power plants mean that business as usual for the nation's biggest sources of carbon pollution, dirty coal-burning utilities, is over."

As I've said before, a growing body of scientific evidence shows that warming temperatures caused by industrial carbon pollution pose a number of threats to our health and families, including worsening smog pollution, which in turn triggers asthma attacks and other respiratory illnesses.

Doctors, nurses, scientists and other experts say that this increased smog pollution is especially dangerous for children because it permanently damages and reduces the function of children's lungs – a major concern for all my fellow parents out there.

These new air quality protections are a historic step forward in allowing EPA to focus on the industries that create the lion's share of the nation's carbon pollution, because it is time to hold big polluters accountable for the pollutants they spew into our air.

Over 120 health organizations including the American Academy of Pediatrics, American Lung Association, American Medical Association, American Nurses Association, American Public...
David Deegan
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. EPA - New England Region
(617) 918-1017 (office)
(617) 594-7068 (cell)
deegan.dave@epa.gov
http://www.epa.gov/region1/newsevents
Follow EPA New England on Twitter: www.twitter.com/EPAnewengland

** Help Save Paper ** Don't Print This Email Unless Necessary **

----- Forwarded by Dave Deegan/R1/USEPA/US on 04/03/2012 10:49 AM -----

From: Nancy Grantham/R1/USEPA/US
To: Catherine Corkery <catherine.corkery@sierraclub.org>
Cc: Cynthia Greene/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Emily Zimmerman/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Dave Deegan/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Paula Ballentine/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/03/2012 10:35 AM
Subject: Re: Press release draft for tomorrow

looping our press staff who will provide a quote (paula and dave -- please coordinate quote millett and brendan . . thanks ng)

Catherine Corkery     Hi Nancy and Cynthia, I do not have... 04/03/2012 10:14:19 AM

From: Catherine Corkery <catherine.corkery@sierraclub.org>
To: Nancy Grantham/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Greene/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/03/2012 10:14 AM
Subject: Press release draft for tomorrow

Hi Nancy and Cynthia,
I do not have the quotation for the Senator yet and she will have edits they said BUT could you look this over and send a quotation for CS?

thanks,
Cathy

--
Catherine M. Corkery
Chapter Director
Field Organizer
New Hampshire Sierra Club
40 North Main Street 2nd Floor
Concord, NH 03301
Office: 603-224-8222
Cell: 603-491-1929
catherine.corkery@sierraclub.org
www.nhsierraclub.org

DONATE TO NH CHAPTER TODAY
My only concern with the quote is [redacted].

Andrea Drinkard
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Air and Radiation
Email: drinkard.andrea@epa.gov
Phone: 202.564.1601
Cell: 202.236.7765

Dave Deegan + Andrea, since John is out
04/03/2012 11:00:56 AM

Hi Brendan & John - Senator Shaheen'...
04/03/2012 10:59:57 AM

Hi Brendan & John -

Senator Shaheen's office is putting out a PR in support of a Carbon Roundtable event - they would like (and Curt would like to provide) a quote. I've drafted the following adaptation of the LPJ quote in last week's announcement. Please let us know if this is ok or if you have an alternative or other input.

Thanks,
Dave
Yup it's late, but she could easily tweet Mike Brune and say she loves the NJ Shore.

On Fri, Apr 20, 2012 at 4:17 PM, Arvin Ganesan <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:
Earth Day is Sunday, right? Kinda late, right?

John Coequyt ---04/20/2012 04:12:04 PM---Hey guys: Do you think Lisa Jackson
could do a video for our Earth Day video project.

Hey guys:

Do you think Lisa Jackson could do a video for our Earth Day video project. Or, if she doesn't
have time for that she could tweet at us about her favorite park for Earth Day? I know you all
are not the right people for this, but can you send it to the right person?

http://content.sierraclub.org/earthday/

--
John Coequyt
Sierra Club
202-669-7060

--
John Coequyt
Sierra Club
202-669-7060
So if she can tweet Sunday and the do a video later we would be thrilled.

John Coequyt
Cell. 202.669.7060
Direct. 202.675.7916

On Apr 20, 2012, at 4:23 PM, Michael Goo <Goo.Michael@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

I like the video that is on the link.....

<graycol.gif>Arvin Ganesan—-04/20/2012 04:17:25 PM—-Earth Day is Sunday, right? Kinda late, right? From: John Coequyt <john.coequyt@sierraclub.org>
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Hey guys:

Do you think Lisa Jackson could do a video for our Earth Day video project. Or, if she doesn’t have time for that she could tweet at us about her favorite park for Earth Day? I know you all are not the right people for this, but can you send it to the right person?

http://content.sierraclub.org/earthday/

--

John Coequyt
Sierra Club
202-669-7060
HI Ter,

Attached are the details. Let me know if you need anything else.

Bob

Best,

Commend-signs vs. newsletters, emails and social media. POC: Ptu Eilons - she will come get you.

As each group hands over their comments, they would like to take a photo with you (individually) with

rule: Standards for GHG emissions for New Stationary Sources

500,000 Commerce

Meetings

Subject: Deputy Administrators Meeting with Enviros - Receipt of

Sent by: Ten Purnell

Printed: DCSP/ERPAUS
Mike,

Had an interesting discussion with Josh...
——Sara Schneeberg/DC/USEPA/US wrote: ———
To: Mike Thrift/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
From: Sara Schneeberg/DC/USEPA/US
Date: 06/06/2012 10:10AM
Cc: Janet McCabe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kevin McLean/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Ling/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Scott Mathias/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Ling/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Scott Mathias/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Ling/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Janet McCabe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: Fw: April 12, 2012 Letter

Sara Schneeberg
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Phone: 202/564-5592
Fax: 202/564-5603

Mike Thrift—06/06/2012 08:58:56 AM—Uh oh. Instructions? The April 12 letter does not seem to be binding or final, as it doesn't impos

From: Mike Thrift/DC/USEPA/US
To: Kevin McLean/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Sara Schneeberg/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Scott Mathias/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Ling/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Janet McCabe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/06/2012 08:58 AM
Subject: Fw: April 12, 2012 Letter
Mike Thrift  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of General Counsel (2344-A)  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20460  

----Forwarded by Mike Thrift/DC/USEPA/US on 06/06/2012 08:55AM----  
To: Mike Thrift/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: Josh Stebbins <josh.stebbins@sierraclub.org>  
Date: 06/05/2012 04:11PM  
Cc: rukelley <rukelley@igc.org>, Zachary Fabish <zachary.fabish@sierraclub.org>  
Subject: April 12, 2012 Letter  

Mike -

I hope you are well.

Would you have time tomorrow for a quick discussion about the April 12, 2012 SO2 NAAQS implementation letter? As I mentioned at the SO2 NAAQS stakeholder meeting, NGOs would like to review with EPA whether EPA considers the letter a binding, or a final, agency action. This is something that we could perhaps resolve easily.

Thank you

Josh

_  
Joshua Stebbins  
Managing Attorney  
Sierra Club  
50 F Street, NW, Eighth Floor  
Washington, DC 20001  
202 675 6273  
202 547 6009
Hi Justin,

I've already discussed this phone number request from Sierra Club with Millet and Andrea. I suggested they opt for something other than a phone number for their ad that should run next week since -- as the Brave Sir Millett said, "that was sooo 90s". Here are some alternatives:

1. Do we have a Twitter hashtag already used for anything related to the Fuel Economy GHG standard?

2. Did Gina/Janet/Margo have a blog post on this topic?

If they want to encourage their members to thank us in writing, I suggested they can use the PublicEngagement@epa.gov address. We can cull the "thanks" together and put in a document for Gina and your guys, if needed.

Let me know about the options above so I can get back to Ann Mesnikoff (ann.mesnikoff@sierraclub.org) -- have you worked with her in the past?

Thanks,

Scott W. Fraser

Deputy Director, Office of Public Engagement
Office of the Administrator | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel 202-566-2126 | fraser.scott@epa.gov

From: Terry McGuire [terry.mcguire@sierraclub.org]
Sent: 06/27/2012 10:07 AM AST
To: Dru Ealons
Subject: Ad Thanking EPA for Higher Fuel Efficiency Standards, Best Number for Contact?

Good Morning Ms. Ealons,

Did you hear back from your staff on the preferred number that our web ad can point folks to? Our online folks pointed me to this number (202-564-4700), which they found here - http://blog.epa.gov/administrator/contact-us/ I wanted to confirm that this line would able to handle a larger volume of messages.

Thanks,

--
Terry McGuire

Associate Washington Representative
Sierra Club
202-495-3045
Adding note --

John Millett
EPA Office of Air and Radiation Communications
Desk: 202/564-2903
Cell: 202/510-1822

Scott Fraser

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Scott Fraser
Sent: 06/29/2012 08:29 AM EDT
To: Justin Cohen
Cc: John Millett; Andrea Drinkard
Subject: Re: Ad Thanking EPA for Higher Fuel Efficiency Standards, Best Number for Contact?

Thanks! I could have sworn Gina did a blog post on it. That true?

Scott W. Fraser
Deputy Director, Office of Public Engagement

Office of the Administrator | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel 202-566-2126 |
fraser.scott@epa.gov

Justin Cohen

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Justin Cohen
Sent: 06/28/2012 08:20 PM EDT
To: Scott Fraser
Cc: John Millett; Andrea Drinkard
Subject: Re: Ad Thanking EPA for Higher Fuel Efficiency Standards, Best Number for Contact?

Thx. Fyi. NRDC called me today w/ the same ask. They are part of the campaign. 

(b)(5) DP

I told them you are already quarterbacking the request.

I do like your idea of that email box (if we end up with a more conventional approach).

I am not personally aware of an existing agency hashtag on this topic....

___________________________
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
Scott Fraser

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Scott Fraser
Sierra Club rep says "EPA does have another tool to achieve the same objective, namely a rulemaking, and we hope they pursue that."

**Miners Prevail in Suit Against EPA**

**Outlet Full Name:** Wall Street Journal - Online  
**News Text:** A federal judge in Washington, D.C., Tuesday threw out tougher water-quality standards issued by the Environmental Protection Agency aimed at curbing environmental damage from surface coal mines in Appalachia.

The ruling by U.S. District Judge Reggie B. Walton was a victory for the mining industry, which has been fighting the Obama administration over a form of mining known as mountaintop removal. The practice involves blasting mountains to extract coal and pushing large quantities of rock and soil into streams.

Last year, the EPA for the first time set limits on the amount of minerals that can be deposited in stream as the result of surface mining in Appalachian states. High levels of some minerals can harm aquatic life.

The National Mining Association opposed the stricter standards and argued in a federal lawsuit that the agency had overstepped its authority by avoiding the federal government formal rule-making process, which requires formal notice and opportunity for public comment.

Judge Walton sided with the industry, writing that the EPA had infringed on the authority granted to states under the Clean Water Act and the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act. He said his ruling didn't address how to strike a balance between "the need to preserve the verdant landscape and natural resources of Appalachia and, on the other hand, the economic role that coal mining plays in the region."

The mining association praised the ruling. "Today's decision... has truly given coal miners and coal mining communities their 'day in court' and has affirmed NMA's long-standing belief that EPA overreached its authority in its virtual moratorium on Eastern coal mining permits," the group said.

An EPA spokeswoman said the agency is reviewing the decision.

The ruling follows a separate one in March in which another federal judge said the EPA went too far by trying to revoke a permit for the largest planned surface mine in West Virginia's history, after that permit had already been issued. The EPA has appealed that decision.

Environmental groups said they were disappointed in the ruling but hopeful the EPA would
FYI, in case you missed this. Truly remarkable. Please pass it on. Antonia

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
August 14, 2012

RECORD 3 MILLION COMMENTS IN SUPPORT OF EPA’S CARBON POLLUTION STANDARD DEMONSTRATES AMERICANS’ SUPPORT FOR CURBING CLIMATE CHANGE

WASHINGTON, DC -- August 14, 2012: Today, a broad coalition of groups supporting clean air announced the collection of 3 million public comments in support of national standards to limit dangerous industrial carbon pollution from new power plants. Adding that this unprecedented tally reflects the strong desire of Americans for national leadership to address climate change and its impact on public health, the groups issued the following statement:

"Three million public comments in favor of cleaning up dangerous carbon pollution is a remarkable and record-setting show of support for protecting our health from rising temperatures. This outpouring of support from across the nation is a wakeup call for policy makers to heed the public’s desire to curb air pollution and climate change.

We applaud the EPA for taking action against dangerous industrial carbon pollution which poses a wide range of health threats to our families, our communities as well as to wildlife and our outdoor heritage because of the impacts of climate change. This summer’s devastating extreme weather events and deadly record-setting heat waves preview just how damaging and costly rising temperatures will be.

The polluters continue to block any attempt to address climate change and reduce industrial carbon pollution in order to protect their profits at the expense of public health. They may not be ready to clean up, but the American public is. When it comes to addressing climate change and its impact on our health, the American public is clamoring for leadership, now."
Here's a report from Colleen on AZ Regional Haze activities in the state legislature.

----- Forwarded by Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US on 02/11/2013 11:28 AM ----- 

From: Colleen McKaughan/R9/USEPA/US
To: Deborah Jordan/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Brent Maier/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Niloufar Glosson/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/10/2013 03:17 PM
Subject: Activities of AZ Legislature on Regional Haze

Hi, Everyone,

Sierra Club sent me this. Looks like there will be testimony on the Regional Haze FIP in front of the state legislature tomorrow. It will be interesting to hear what they say, especially AEPCO. There is a bill moving through the legislature, but it appears to be a measure supporting the state SIP, which is nothing new. I don't know if we will get any kind of press but I thought I would give you a heads up. Sierra Club will be there, so they will let us know what was said, although they were not invited to testify. Note that the Navajo EPA is testifying.

Sierra Club is also meeting with Congressman Barber tomorrow to discuss Apache and AEPCO. They are not aware of our meeting last Friday, and I didn't enlighten them.

Monday, February 11th

Special Joint Meeting of the Senate Government & Environment and the House Energy, Environment & Natural Resources committees upon adjournment of the Senate and House Floor Sessions in SHR1

- Opening remarks from Senator Griffin & Representative Pratt
- Overview of Regional Haze State Implementation Plan/Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) decision from Eric Massey from the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
- Impact of EPA regulations on Arizona utilities from, you guessed it, Arizona utilities
  - Ed Fox, APS
  - Kelly Barr, Salt River Project
  - Patrick Ledger, AZ Electric Power Cooperative
- Impact of EPA regulations on Arizona interests
  - Roland Knox, Northern Cochise Community Hospital
  - Mike Berburt, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
  - Stephen Etsitty, Navajo Nation EPA
  - AZ Chamber of Commerce
- Vido presentation

There is no call to the public or public comment opportunity listed.

Senate Committee on Government & Environment after the meeting above in Senate Hearing Room 1

- SB1365 planned communities; design review process (Burges) says that cities and towns cannot require a developer to construct certain infrastructure as part of a zoning approval or
Does this contain what you need?

http://epa.gov/carbonpollutionstandard/pdfs/howtocomment.pdf

Jonathan and Alex:

Can you help out here. Attached are the petition's from Change.Org, many of which were signed before the comment period officially opened. They want to make sure you all include them in your tally of supporters. Who do I need to give these to.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Marie Bergen <marie.bergen@sierraclub.org>
Date: Wed, Jun 13, 2012 at 4:45 PM
Subject: Carbon Rule Comments for EPA from Change.org
To: John Coequyt <john.coequyt@sierraclub.org>

Hey John,
Here are the comments to send to the EPA on Carbon. Please let me know if you receive them.
Thanks!

--

Marie Bergen
Regional Online Manager, Coal Campaign
Sierra Club
415-9775673

--

John Coequyt
Sierra Club
202-669-7060
These are apparently large csv files with lots of names so they are hoping for a human to talk to about the best format, etc.

A

Kevin Culligan http://epa.gov/carbonpollutionstandard/... 06/20/2012 01:54:18 PM

From: Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US
To: Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/20/2012 01:54 PM
Subject: Re: How to submit comments -

http://epa.gov/carbonpollutionstandard/pdfs/howtocomment.pdf

Alex Barron Would be handy. Thx. From: Kevin Cull... 06/20/2012 01:51:42 PM

From: Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US
To: Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/20/2012 01:51 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Carbon Rule Comments for EPA from Change.org

Would be handy. Thx.

Kevin Culligan I assume you are telling them they need... 06/20/2012 01:51:00 PM

From: Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US
To: Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/20/2012 01:51 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Carbon Rule Comments for EPA from Change.org

I assume you are telling them they need to submit them to the docket? Can get you the info if you need it.

Alex Barron fyi ----- Forwarded by Alex Barron/DC/... 06/20/2012 01:45:27 PM

From: Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US
To: Kevin Culligan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/20/2012 01:45 PM
Subject: Fw: Carbon Rule Comments for EPA from Change.org

fyi ----- Forwarded by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US on 06/20/2012 01:44 PM -----

From: John Coequyt <john.coequyt@sierraclub.org>
To: Jonathan Lubetsky/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/20/2012 01:41 PM
Subject: Fwd: Carbon Rule Comments for EPA from Change.org

Jonathan and Alex:
You may also want to talk to someone at the docket office:

202-566-1742

They are the pros and masters of such details.

More info is at:
http://www.epa.gov/air/docket.html

Jonathan and Alex:

Can you help out here. Attached are the petition's from Change.Org, many of which were signed before the comment period officially opened. They want to make sure you all include them in your tally of supporters. Who do I need to give these to.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Marie Bergen <marie.bergen@sierraclub.org>
Date: Wed, Jun 13, 2012 at 4:45 PM
Subject: Carbon Rule Comments for EPA from Change.org
To: John Coequyt <john.coequyt@sierraclub.org>

Hey John,
Here are the comments to send to the EPA on Carbon. Please let me know if you receive them.
Thanks!

--
Marie Bergen
Regional Online Manager, Coal Campaign
Sierra Club
415-9775673

--
Thanks for your help

On Wed, Jun 20, 2012 at 2:37 PM, Alex Barron <Barron.Alex@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:
You may also want to talk to someone at the docket office:

202-566-174
2

They are the pros and masters of such details.

More info is at:
http://www.epa.gov/air/docket.html

--- 06/20/2012 01:41:48 PM ---
Jonathan and Alex: Can you help out here. Attached are the petition's from Change.Org, many

Jonathan and Alex:

Can you help out here. Attached are the petition's from Change.Org, many of which were signed before the comment period officially opened. They want to make sure you all include them in your tally of supporters. Who do I need to give these to.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Marie Bergen <marie.bergen@sierraclub.org>
Date: Wed, Jun 13, 2012 at 4:45 PM
Subject: Carbon Rule Comments for EPA from Change.org
To: John Coequyt <john.coequyt@sierraclub.org>

Hey John,

Here are the comments to send to the EPA on Carbon. Please let me know if you receive them.

Thanks!

--

Marie Bergen
Regional Online Manager, Coal Campaign
Sierra Club
415-977-5673

--

John Coequyt
Sierra Club
202-669-7060

[attachment "2012-06-05_changeorg_signatures96028_Tell the EPA- Set limits for Big Coal and corporate polluters.csv" deleted by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US]
[attachment "2012-06-05_changeorg_signatures124289_Tell the EPA- Put limits on life-threatening carbon pollution.csv" deleted by Alex Barron/DC/USEPA/US]

--

John Coequyt
Sierra Club
202-669-7060