

To: Perovich, Gina[Perovich.Gina@epa.gov]
From: Birchfield, Norman
Sent: Thur 11/19/2015 7:56:02 PM
Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate
[417300_2015-10-01_TXR0057299.pdf](#)
[TB Brief Glyphosate JR 11 3 15.pptx](#)

From: McQueen, Jacqueline
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 12:42 PM
To: Birchfield, Norman <Birchfield.Norman@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Lots of e:mails. I've asked that at least you and Vince be added to the invite list. We can talk at 1 about the rest of your folks. It is ok with me, but it is time so we should discuss. In the meantime, see the attached briefing materials.

Jackie

From: Fegley, Robert
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 12:10 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Obviously a bunch of email traffic this AM that you were not included on – just seeing them now myself

From: Gentry, Nathan
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 9:22 AM
To: Deener, Kathleen <Deener.Kathleen@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; Smith, Kelley <Smith.Kelley@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Here's what they sent yesterday.

Nathan Gentry

Scheduler for Tom Burke, Lek Kadeli and Bob Kavlock

EPA Office of Research and Development

Phone: 202-564-9084

Fax: 202-565-2430

From: Deener, Kathleen

Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 9:20 AM

To: Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>;
Smith, Kelley <Smith.Kelley@epa.gov>

Cc: Gentry, Nathan <Gentry.Nathan@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

I was working on the assumption that it's a briefing, but I don't believe I've ever heard anyone state that.

Nathan – do you know more? Have they sent briefing materials yet?

Kacee Deener, MPH

Senior Science Advisor

Office of Research and Development

(ph) 202.564.1990 | (mobile) 202.510.1490

deener.kathleen@epa.gov

From: Bahadori, Tina
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 9:19 AM
To: Deener, Kathleen <Deener.Kathleen@epa.gov>; Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; Smith, Kelley <Smith.Kelley@epa.gov>
Cc: Gentry, Nathan <Gentry.Nathan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

I agree – but I am not quite sure why this meeting is on the calendar in the first place. Is OPP planning to brief us on their strategy for this RA? If so, it would be really important to have the folks doing the review on the call.

T.

From: Deener, Kathleen
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 9:16 AM
To: Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; Smith, Kelley <Smith.Kelley@epa.gov>
Cc: Gentry, Nathan <Gentry.Nathan@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Thanks Bob. Very glad that NCEA is pulling in some very good people to look at this.

I think the idea was that after we identified the right folks to get involved, then we'd add them to the invite for Thursday's meeting. So please feel free to forward the invite to whoever you've lined up for the review. Adding Tina and Nathan in case they think otherwise. But there seems to be dozens of people on the invite already. I think we should have those doing the review on the call.

Kacee Deener, MPH

Senior Science Advisor

Office of Research and Development

(ph) 202.564.1990 | (mobile) 202.510.1490

deener.kathleen@epa.gov

From: Fegley, Robert

Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 8:42 AM

To: Deener, Kathleen <Deener.Kathleen@epa.gov>; Smith, Kelley <Smith.Kelley@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

(b)(5) deliberative process

From: McQueen, Jacqueline

Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2015 7:19 AM

To: Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>;
Hauchman, Fred <hauchman.fred@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Morning,

Just wanted you to see the quick feedback from NCEA. I think if NCEA can really commit these people (we need to discuss the workload issue), then between them and perhaps Peter Egeghy and Matt because they have the IARC background, I think we should be covered. I haven't talked to Peter or Matt but will hopefully track them down today. Tina, if you run into them at your meeting, feel free to touch base.

I will talk with Norm Birchfield this morning to get more info. on NCEA involvement, but it seems that we will need more time to conduct a thorough review. We will need to alert management and let them sort out this part. I will talk with Bob when he comes in. Fred is on travel and won't be here until Friday at the earliest.

Jackie

From: Cogliano, Vincent

Sent: Tuesday, November 03, 2015 6:28 PM

To: Birchfield, Norman <Birchfield.Norman@epa.gov>; Jones, Samantha <Jones.Samantha@epa.gov>; Perovich, Gina <Perovich.Gina@epa.gov>; Flowers, Lynn <Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov>; Ross, Mary <Ross.Mary@epa.gov>; Vandenberg, John <Vandenberg.John@epa.gov>; Berner, Ted <Berner.Ted@epa.gov>; D'Amico, Louis <DAmico.Louis@epa.gov>; Olden, Kenneth <Olden.Kenneth@epa.gov>; Bussard, David <Bussard.David@epa.gov>; Gatchett, Annette <Gatchett.Annette@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Thank you, Norm, for sending this.

(b)(5) deliberative process

(b)(5) deliberative process

(b)(5) deliberative process

(b)(5) deliberative process

To respond to your question about people to review this, I would suggest that we ask a top epidemiologist, cancer biologist, and genetic toxicologist to review both the full IARC Monograph on glyphosate and the draft OPP assessment, with full access to the supporting studies. I would nominate Glinda Cooper, Jason Fritz, and Catherine Gibbons from my division. This activity will have a cost: it would take several top scientists off assessment work for a couple of weeks ... but

releasing a controversial draft assessment without rigorous, independent internal review could cost us even more.

Vince

From: Birchfield, Norman
Sent: Tuesday, November 03, 2015 1:37 PM
To: Cogliano, Vincent <cogliano.vincent@epa.gov>; Jones, Samantha <Jones.Samantha@epa.gov>; Perovich, Gina <Perovich.Gina@epa.gov>; Flowers, Lynn <Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov>; Ross, Mary <Ross.Mary@epa.gov>; Vandenberg, John <Vandenberg.John@epa.gov>; Berner, Ted <Berner.Ted@epa.gov>; D'Amico, Louis <DAmico.Louis@epa.gov>; Olden, Kenneth <Olden.Kenneth@epa.gov>; Bussard, David <Bussard.David@epa.gov>; Gatchett, Annette <Gatchett.Annette@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Hi all. We have a request from OSP to do a rapid review (done by Friday) of the OPP glyphosate herbicide risk assessment focusing on cancer. (b)(5) deliberative process
(b)(5) deliberative process Please let me know ASAP if you have suggestions on people to review this. Thanks

From: McQueen, Jacqueline
Sent: Tuesday, November 03, 2015 1:29 PM
To: Birchfield, Norman <Birchfield.Norman@epa.gov>; Walton, Barb <Walton.Barb@epa.gov>; Martin, Matt <Martin.Matt@epa.gov>; Stroup, Gene <Stroup.Gene@epa.gov>
Cc: Crofton, Kevin <Crofton.Kevin@epa.gov>; Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Egeghy, Peter <Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Good afternoon,

Attached for review are 2 documents: OPP's draft glyphosate risk assessment, and the final report of their Cancer Assessment Review Committee (CARC). (b)(5) deliberative process

(b)(5) deliberative process

(b)(5) deliberative process

OPP is interested in our input on the discussion of its cancer classification, and particularly, the discussion of the underlying rationale explaining the differences between its classification and that of IARC. Beyond that, if you note any big issues or showstoppers with the overall assessment, please also send those forward. It would be ideal if you could identify any major concerns this week; if you do, we will need to alert our management so that we can get adequate time to complete the review. OPP plans to release the assessment in the near future, and take it to the SAP in the spring of 2016. The focus of the SAP will be on the cancer classification.

If possible, let me know by noon this Friday if you identify any issues with the cancer assessment and with the overall document. If you don't think you can meet this deadline, let me know asap and we will raise this up through management to request more time.

Thanks for your quick attention to this. Please call if you want to discuss.

Jackie

Jacqueline McQueen
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8104R)
Office of Research and Development
Office of Science Policy
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 564-6639

